arXiv:math/0505505v1 [math.AG] 24 May 2005

CONIC SHEAVES ON SUBANALYTIC SITES AND LAPLACE TRANSFORM

Luca Prelli

Abstract

There are various objects in Analysis which cannot be studied with classical sheaf theory. To overcome this problem Kashiwara and Schapira introduced sheaves on subanalytic sites. In this paper we give a construction of conic sheaves on a subanalytic site and we extend the Fourier-Sato transform to this framework. Let E be a n dimensional complex vector space and let E^* be its dual. As an application we construct the conic sheaf $\mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}+}}$ of tempered holomorphic functions and prove the isomorphism in the derived category $\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}+}}[n] \simeq \mathcal{O}^t_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}+}}$.

Contents

Introduction **1**

1 Conic sheaves on subanalytic sites **3**
- 1.1 Review on sheaves on subanalytic sites 3
- 1.2 Conic sheaves 5
- 1.3 Sheaves on conic topologies 7
- 1.4 Conic sheaves on vector bundles 11
- 1.5 Fourier-Sato transformation 14

2 Laplace transform **17**
- 2.1 Review on temperate cohomology 17
- 2.2 The Weyl algebra 18
- 2.3 The sheaf $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}+}}$ 18
- 2.4 Laplace transform 19

A Appendix **21**
- A.1 Classical sheaves on conic topologies 21

References **23**

Introduction

Classical sheaf theory is not well suited to the study of various objects in Analysis, which are not defined by local properties. To overcome this problem Kashiwara and Schapira in [8] developed the theory of ind-sheaves on a locally compact space and defined the six Grothendieck operations in this framework. For a real analytic manifold X, they defined the subanalytic site X_{sa} as the site generated by the category of subanalytic open subsets and whose coverings are the locally finite coverings in X. Moreover they proved the equivalence between the categories of ind-$\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves and sheaves on X_{sa}. They constructed the sheaf of tempered distributions as a sheaf on X_{sa}, and when X is a complex manifold they introduced the sheaf of tempered holomorphic functions.

Thanks to the results of [11] we have a direct construction of the six Grothendieck operations on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, without using the theory of ind-sheaves. So we will work directly with sheaves on subanalytic sites. Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with an action of $\mathbb{R}^+$. We will first introduce the category of conic sheaves on X_{sa} and show the equivalence with the category of sheaves on the conic subanalytic site associated to X. When X is a vector bundle (and the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the multiplication on the fibers) we extend the Fourier-Sato transform for classical sheaves to conic sheaves on subanalytic sites. Let E be a complex vector space. As an application we construct the conic sheaf $\mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$ of tempered holomorphic functions and prove that the Laplace isomorphism of [7] induces an isomorphism in the derived category $\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n] \simeq \mathcal{O}^t_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$.

In more details, the contents of this paper are as follows.

In Section 1 we first recall the results of [8] and [11] on sheaves on subanalytic sites. Then we construct the category of conic sheaves on subanalytic sites. Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with an action μ of $\mathbb{R}^+$, and let $p : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$ be the projection. We define the category of conic sheaves on X_{sa} as the category of sheaves F on X_{sa} satisfying $\mu^{-1}F \simeq p^{-1}F$, and we show that the six Grothendieck operations are well defined in this category. Then we show the equivalence with the category of sheaves on $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$, whose objects are conic subanalytic open subsets and the topology is induced by X_{sa}. Let E be a vector bundle over a real analytic manifold, conic sheaves on E_{sa} can be viewed as filtrant inductive limits of conic $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on E. Moreover we can define the Fourier-Sato transform which gives an equivalence between conic sheaves on E_{sa} and conic sheaves on E^*_{sa}, where E^* denotes the dual vector bundle.

In Section 2 we study Laplace transform for tempered holomorphic functions. Let E be a real vector space, and let $E \hookrightarrow P$ be its projective compactification. In [7] Kashiwara and Schapira set, for a conic $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf F on E

$$\mathrm{THom}(F, \mathcal{D}b_E) := \Gamma(P; (j_! F, \mathcal{D}b_P)),$$

where $(\cdot, \mathcal{D}b_P)$ denotes the Schwartz functor of [4]. Let U be a conic subanalytic open subset of E. The correspondence $U \mapsto \mathrm{THom}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_E)$ defines a conic sheaf on E_{sa}, denoted by $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$, whose sections are distributions which are tempered on the boundary of U and at infinity. When E is an n dimensional complex vector space we define the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$ of tempered holomorphic functions by the Dolbeaut complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,0)}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \overset{\overline{\partial}}{\to} \cdots \overset{\overline{\partial}}{\to} \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,n)}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \to 0.$$

We show that this sheaf is invariant by the Laplace transform. In fact the Laplace isomorphism $\mathrm{THom}(F, \mathcal{O}_E) \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{THom}(F^\wedge[n], \mathcal{O}_{E^*})$ of [7] induces an isomorphism in the derived category of conic sheaves on E_{sa}

$$\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n] \simeq \mathcal{O}^t_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}},$$

where $\wedge$ denotes the extension of the Fourier-Sato transform to conic sheaves on E_{sa}. Moreover this isomorphism is linear over the Weyl algebra.

1 Conic sheaves on subanalytic sites

In the following X will be a real analytic manifold and k a field. Reference are made to [5] for a complete exposition on sheaves on Grothendieck topologies and to [8] and [11] for an introduction to sheaves on subanalytic sites. We refer to [2] and [9] for the theory of subanalytic sets.

1.1 Review on sheaves on subanalytic sites

Let us recall some results of [8] and [11].

Denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ the category of subanalytic subsets of X. One endows $\mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ with the following topology: $S \subset \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ is a covering of $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ if for any compact K of X there exists a finite subset $S_0 \subset S$ such that $K \cap \bigcup_{V \in S_0} V = K \cap U$. We will call X_{sa} the subanalytic site, and for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ we denote by U_{sa} the category $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa}) \cap U$ with the topology induced by X_{sa}.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ denote the category of sheaves on X_{sa}. Then $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is a Grothendieck category, i.e. it admits a generator and small inductive limits, and small filtrant inductive limits are exact. In particular as a Grothendieck category, $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ has enough injective objects.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ be the abelian category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on X, and consider its subcategory $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ consisting of sheaves whose support is compact.

We denote by $\rho : X \to X_{sa}$ the natural morphism of sites. We have functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \arrow[r, shift left=2, "\rho_*"] \arrow[r, shift right=2, "\rho_!"'] & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}). \arrow[l, "\rho^{-1}" description]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The functors ρ^{-1} and ρ_* are the functors of inverse image and direct image respectively. The sheaf $\rho_!F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X) \ni U \mapsto F(\overline{U})$. In particular, for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ one has $\rho_!k_U \simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \rho_*k_V$, where $V \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$. Let us summarize the properties of these functors:

- the functor ρ_* is fully faithful and left exact, the restriction of ρ_* to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ is exact,
- the functor ρ^{-1} is exact,
- the functor $\rho_!$ is fully faithful and exact,
- (ρ^{-1}, ρ_*) and $(\rho_!, \rho^{-1})$ are pairs of adjoint functors.

For each $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, there exists a small filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i$. Moreover let $\{F_i\}$ be a filtrant inductive system of $k_{X_{sa}}$-modules and let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. One has the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\rho_*G, \varinjlim_i F_i) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}(\rho_*G, F_i). \tag{1.1}$$

Let X, Y be two real analytic manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a real analytic map. We have a commutative diagram

(1.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & Y \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\ X_{sa} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y_{sa} \end{tikzcd}$$

We get external operations f^{-1}, f_* and $f_{!!}$, where the notation $f_{!!}$ follows from the fact that $f_{!!} \circ \rho_* \neq \rho_* \circ f_!$ in general. If f is proper on $\mathrm{supp}(F)$ then $f_* F \simeq f_{!!} F$, in this case $f_{!!}$ commutes with ρ_*. While functors f^{-1} and $\otimes$ are exact, the functors $\mathcal{H}om$, f_* and $f_{!!}$ are left exact and admits right derived functors. In particular the functor $Rf_{!!}$ admits a right adjoint, denoted by $f^!$, and we get the usual isomorphisms between Grothendieck operations (projection formula, base change formula, Künneth formula, etc.) in the framework of subanalytic sites. Moreover $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ has finite homological dimension, hence all the operations are well defined in the bounded derived category. We refer to [11] for a detailed exposition.

Finally we recall the relations between the six Grothendieck operations and the functors ρ^{-1}, $R\rho_*$ and $\rho_!$.

- the functor ρ^{-1} commutes with $\otimes$, f^{-1} and $Rf_{!!}$,
- the functor $R\rho_*$ commutes with $R\mathcal{H}om$, Rf_* and $f^!$,
- the functor $\rho_!$ commutes with $\otimes$ and f^{-1},
- if f is a topological submersion (i.e. it is locally isomorphic to a projection $Y \times \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$), then $f^! \simeq f^{-1} \otimes f^! k_Y$ commutes with ρ^{-1} and $Rf_{!!}$ commutes with $\rho_!$.

1.2 Conic sheaves

Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with an analytic action μ of $\mathbb{R}^+$. We have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "j"] & X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \arrow[r, shift left, "\mu"] \arrow[r, shift right, "p"'] & X, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $j(x) = (x, 1)$ and p denotes the projection. We have $\mu \circ j = p \circ j = \mathrm{id}$.

Definition 1.2.1 *A sheaf F on X_{sa} is conic if there is an isomorphism $\theta : \mu^{-1} F \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1} F$, or equivalently $\mu^! F \xrightarrow{\sim} p^! F$ such that $j^{-1}\theta = \mathrm{id}$.*

(i) *We denote by* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *the full abelian subcategory of* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *consisting of conic sheaves.*

(ii) *We denote by* $D^{\sharp}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$, *where* $\sharp = +, b$ *the subcategory of* $D^{\sharp}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *consisting of objects* F *such that* $H^j(F)$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that if $\theta : \mu^{-1}F \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1}F$ exists and if $j^{-1}\theta = \mathrm{id}$, then θ is unique.

Proposition 1.2.2 *Let* $F \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and* $G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$. *Then* $\rho^{-1}F \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$ *and* $R\rho_*G, \rho_!G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Proof. It follows immediately from the fact that μ^{-1} and p^{-1} commute with ρ^{-1} and $\rho_!$, and that $\mu^!$ and $p^!$ commute with $R\rho_*$.

□

Let X and Y be two analytic manifolds endowed with an action of $\mathbb{R}^+$. Assume that $f : X \to Y$ is a conic morphism , i.e. an analytic map which commutes with the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$. We have a commutative diagram of manifold with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[d, "f"] & X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \arrow[l, "\mu_X"'] \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{f}"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y & Y \times \mathbb{R}^+ \arrow[l, "\mu_Y"'] \arrow[r, "p_Y"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 1.2.3 *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a conic analytic map.*

(i) *Let* $F \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* $f^{-1}F, f^!F \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{Y_{sa}})$.

(ii) *Let* $G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. *Then* $Rf_*G, Rf_{!!}G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

(iii) *Let* $F, G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* $F \otimes G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

(iv) *Let* $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and* $G \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* $R\mathcal{H}om(F,G) \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Proof. Assertions (i)-(iv) follow easily adapting Proposition 3.7.4 of [6].

□

Definition 1.2.4 *We say that a subset S of X is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected if $S \cap b$ is connected or empty for each orbit b of μ.*

Definition 1.2.5 *Let S be a subset of X. We set $\mathbb{R}^+S = \mu(S, \mathbb{R}^+)$. If $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ because μ is open.*

Proposition 1.2.6 *Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ be $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected and such that $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. Then for $F \in D^+_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{RHom}(k_{\mathbb{R}^+U}, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}(k_U, F).$$

Proof. Let us consider the restriction $\mu : U \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+U$. Then μ has contractible fibers and we have $R\mu_*\mu^{-1}F \simeq F$. Then we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}(k_{\mathbb{R}^+U}, F) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_{\mathbb{R}^+U}, R\mu_*\mu^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_{\mu^{-1}\mathbb{R}^+U}, \mu^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_{p^{-1}U}, p^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_U, Rp_*p^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_U, F). \end{aligned}$$

□

1.3 Sheaves on conic topologies

Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with an action μ of $\mathbb{R}^+$. In the following we shall assume the hypothesis below:

$$(1.3) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{(i) every } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X) \text{ has a finite covering consisting} \\ \quad \text{of } \mathbb{R}^+\text{-connected subanalytic open subsets;} \\ \text{(ii) for any } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X) \text{ we have } \mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X); \\ \text{(iii) for any } x \in X \text{ the set } \mathbb{R}^+x \text{ is contractible.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.3.1 *We denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$) the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Op}(X)$ consisting of conic (resp. conic subanalytic) subsets, i.e. $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$ (resp. $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$) if $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ (resp. $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$) and it is invariant by the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$.*

We denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ (resp. $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$) the category $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$) endowed with the topology induced by X (resp. X_{sa}).

We denote by $\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+} : X_{\mathbb{R}^+} \to X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$ the natural morphism of sites.

Let $\eta : X \to X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $\eta_{sa} : X_{sa} \to X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$ be the natural morphisms of sites. We have a commutative diagram of sites

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\eta"] & X_{sa} \arrow[d, "\eta_{sa}"] \\ X_{\mathbb{R}^+} \arrow[r, "\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+}"] & X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+} \end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem A.1.3 the functor $\eta^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$ is an equivalence of categories.

Definition 1.3.2 *Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$. We say that U is relatively quasi-compact if, for any covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, there exists $J \subset I$ finite such that $U \subset \bigcup_{i\in J} U_i$.*

We will call $\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ the subcategory of $\mathrm{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ consisting of relatively quasi-compact open subsets. One can check easily that if $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$.

Definition 1.3.3 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$ and consider the family $\mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(X)$.*

(i) F is $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-finite if there exists an epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow F$ with $G \simeq \oplus_{i\in I} k_{U_i}$, I finite and $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$.

(ii) F is $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-pseudo-coherent if for any morphism $\psi : G \to F$ where $G \simeq \oplus_{i\in I} k_{U_i}$, with I finite and $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$, $\ker\psi$ is $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-finite.

(iii) F is $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-coherent if it is both $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-finite and $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-pseudo-coherent.

We will call $\mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$ consisting of $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-coherent objects.

Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}})$. By a result of [12] there exists an inductive system $\{F_i\}$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+ *} F_i$. For each $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ one has the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}}}(k_U, \varinjlim_i F_i) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}}}(k_U, F_i). \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover the functor $\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+ *}$ is fully faithful and exact on $\mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$.

Lemma 1.3.4 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$. *Then* $\eta_{sa}^{-1}\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F \simeq \rho_*\eta^{-1}F$.

Proof. Since all these functors are exact on $\mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$, we are reduced to the case $F = k_U$ with $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$. Then we have

$$\eta_{sa}^{-1}\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}k_U \simeq \eta_{sa}^{-1}k_U \simeq k_U,$$

on the other hand we have

$$\rho_*\eta^{-1}k_U \simeq \rho_*k_U \simeq k_U$$

and the result follows.

$\square$

Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ such that $\mathbb{R}^+U$ is still subanalytic. Let φ be the natural map from $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;F)$ to $\Gamma(U;\eta_{sa}^{-1}F)$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;F) & \to & \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;\eta_{sa*}\eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \\ & \simeq & \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;\eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \\ & \to & \Gamma(U;\eta_{sa}^{-1}F). \end{array} \tag{1.5}$$

Proposition 1.3.5 *Let F be a sheaf over $X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ with $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ and assume that U is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. Then the morphism φ defined by* (1.5) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First let us suppose $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Let $F = \varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_U, \eta_{sa}^{-1}\varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i) & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_U, \varinjlim_i \rho_*\eta^{-1}F_i) \\ & \simeq & \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_U, \eta^{-1}F_i) \\ & \simeq & \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}}}(k_{\mathbb{R}^+U}, F_i) \\ & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}}}(k_{\mathbb{R}^+U}, \varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i), \end{array}$$

where the third isomorphism follows from Proposition A.1.1. In the fourth isomorphism we used the fact that $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ and (1.4).

If U is not relatively compact, let $\{V_i\}_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_{sa})$ consisting of $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected relatively compact subsets. We have

$$\Gamma(U;\eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_i \Gamma(U \cap V_i;\eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_i \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+(U\cap V_i);F) \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;F).$$

□

Corollary 1.3.6 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}})$. *Then* $\eta_{sa*}\eta_{sa}^{-1}F \simeq F$, *and the functor* $\eta_{sa}^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof. For any $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ we have:

$$\Gamma(U; \eta_{sa*}\eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \simeq \Gamma(U; \eta_{sa}^{-1}F) \simeq \Gamma(U; F)$$

where the second isomorphisms follows from the preceding Proposition.

□

Let us consider the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ of conic sheaves on X_{sa}. The restriction of η_{sa*} induces a functor denoted by $\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}$ and we obtain a diagram

(1.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\eta_{sa}^{-1}"] \\
\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \arrow[ur, "\eta_{sa*}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 1.3.7 *The functors* $\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}$ *and* η_{sa}^{-1} *in* (1.6) *are equivalences of categories inverse to each others.*

Proof. (i) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$, and let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ be $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. We have

$$\Gamma(U; F) \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F) \simeq \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; \widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}F) \simeq \Gamma(U; \eta_{sa}^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}F).$$

The first isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.2.6 and the third one follows from Proposition 1.3.5. Then (1.3) (i) implies $\eta_{sa}^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*} \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

(ii) By Corollary 1.3.6 we have $\widetilde{\eta}_{sa*}\eta_{sa}^{-1} \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

□

Corollary 1.3.8 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then there exists an inductive system* $\{F_i\}$, *with* $F_i \in \mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ *such that* $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*\eta^{-1}F_i$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then there exist $F' \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}})$ such that $\eta_{sa}^{-1}F' \simeq F$. We have $F' \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i$ with $F_i \in \mathrm{Coh}(X_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$. Then

$$\eta_{sa}^{-1}\varinjlim_i \rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \eta_{sa}^{-1}\rho_{\mathbb{R}^+*}F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*\eta^{-1}F_i,$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 1.3.4.

□

1.4 Conic sheaves on vector bundles

Let $E \xrightarrow{\tau} Z$ be a real vector bundle, with dimension n over a real analytic manifold Z. Then $\mathbb{R}^+$ acts naturally on E by multiplication on the fibers. We identify Z as the zero-section of E and denote $i : Z \hookrightarrow E$ the embedding. We set $\dot{E} = E \setminus Z$.

Lemma 1.4.1 *Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(E_{sa})$. There exists a finite covering $\{V_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathrm{Cov}(U_{sa})$ with $V_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(E_{sa})$ such that V_i is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected for each $i \in I$.*

Proof. (a) First let us consider the case $Z = \{pt\}$, i.e. $E = \mathbb{R}^n$.

(i) Let us suppose that $0 \notin U$. We consider the morphism of manifolds

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R}^n \\ (\vartheta, r) & \mapsto & ri(\vartheta), \end{array}$$

where $i : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the embedding. Then φ is proper and subanalytic. The subset $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ is subanalytic and relatively compact in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a finite cylindrical cell decomposition (see [14] for details) with respect to the projection on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For any cell S of the decomposition, let V_S be the union of cells whose closure contains S, then V_S is an open subanalytic subset with connected fibers. Since φ is proper, then $\varphi(V_S)$ is an open subanalytic $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for each S.

(ii) Let us suppose that $0 \in U$. There exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $B(0, \varepsilon) \subset U$, where $B(0, \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ball. Then $0 \notin U' = U \setminus \overline{B(0, \varepsilon/2)}$. By (i) there exists a finite covering $\{V'_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U' consisting of $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected subanalytic open subsets, and $B(0, \varepsilon) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} V'_i = U$.

(b) Now let Z be a real analytic manifold. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a locally finite covering of Z with $V_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Z_{sa})$ such that $\tau^{-1}(V_i) \simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\{U_i\}$ be a refinement of $\{V_i\}$ with $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Z_{sa})$ and $\overline{U_i} \subset V_i$ for each i. Then U is covered by a finite number of $\tau^{-1}(U_i)$ and $U \cap \tau^{-1}(U_i)$ is relatively compact in $\tau^{-1}(V_i)$ for each i. We may reduce to the case $E \simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us consider the morphism of manifolds

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ (z, \vartheta, r) & \mapsto & (z, ri(\vartheta)), \end{array}$$

where $i : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the embedding. Then the proof is similar to that of (a).

$\square$

It follows that the category $\mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(E)$ satisfies (1.3) (i). By Proposition 8.3.8 of [6] it also satisfies (1.3) (ii). Moreover (1.3) (iii) is clearly satisfied. Then by Theorem 1.3.7 the categories $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}})$ are equivalent.

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_E)$ be the abelian category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on E, and consider its subcategory $\mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_E)$ consisting of sheaves whose support is compact on the base (i.e. $\tau(\mathrm{supp}(F))$ is compact in Z).

Let us consider the natural map $\eta : E \to E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. The restriction of η^{-1} to $\mathrm{Coh}(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ gives rise to a functor

$$\overline{\eta}^{-1} : \mathrm{Coh}(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E) \tag{1.7}$$

Since the functor η^{-1} is fully faithful and exact, we identify $\mathrm{Coh}(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ as a subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E)$.

Proposition 1.4.2 *The functor $\overline{\eta}^{-1}$ in (1.7) is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. (i) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E)$. Let us show that F is $E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-finite. It is well known that if X is a real analytic manifold and $F \in \mathrm{Mod}^{c}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, then F is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite sums $\oplus_W k_W$, where $W \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$.

Let us consider the diagram $Z \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} E \xrightarrow{\tau} Z$, where i is the embedding of the zero section. We have

$$\begin{cases} F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E) \Rightarrow i^{-1}F \simeq \tau_* F, \\ F \in \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_E) \Rightarrow i^{-1}F \in \mathrm{Mod}^{c}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Z). \end{cases}$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$\oplus_{i\in I} k_{V_i} \to \tau_* F \to 0,$$

where I is finite and $V_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(Z)$. Applying the exact functor τ^{-1} we obtain the exact sequence

$$\oplus_{i\in I} k_{\tau^{-1}V_i} \to \tau^{-1}\tau_* F \to 0, \tag{1.8}$$

Now let us consider the diagram $S \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \dot{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$, where $S = \dot{E}/\mathbb{R}^+$ and π is the projection. We have

$$\begin{cases} F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E) \Rightarrow F|_{\dot{E}} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{\dot{E}}), \\ F \in \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_E) \Rightarrow j^{-1}F|_{\dot{E}} \in \mathrm{Mod}^{c}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_S). \end{cases}$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$\oplus_{j\in J} k_{U_j} \to j^{-1}F|_{\dot{E}} \to 0,$$

where J is finite and $U_j \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(S)$. Since $F|_{\dot{E}}$ is conic $\pi^{-1}j^{-1}F|_{\dot{E}} \simeq F|_{\dot{E}}$. Applying the exact functor π^{-1} we obtain the exact sequence

$$\oplus_j k_{\mathbb{R}^+U_j} \to F_{\dot{E}} \to 0. \tag{1.9}$$

By adjunction we obtain a morphism

$$\varphi : \oplus_j k_{\mathbb{R}^+U_j} \bigoplus \oplus_i k_{\tau^{-1}V_i} \to F.$$

We shall show that φ is an epimorphism. Let W be a conic open subset of E. Then $W = \tau^{-1}V \cup \mathbb{R}^+U$, with $V \in \mathrm{Op}(Z)$ and $U \in \mathrm{Op}(S)$. Let $s \in \Gamma(W; F)$ and consider the restrictions $s_1 = s|_{\tau^{-1}V} \in \Gamma(\tau^{-1}V; F) = \Gamma(\tau^{-1}V; \tau^{-1}\tau_*F)$ and $s_2 = s|_{\mathbb{R}^+U} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F) = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F_{\dot{E}})$. We have an epimorphism $\tau^{-1}\tau_*F \oplus F_{\dot{E}} \twoheadrightarrow F$, and we obtain the result by (1.8) and (1.9).

(ii) Let us show that F is $E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$-pseudo-coherent. Let $G = \oplus_{i\in I} k_{W_i}$, with I finite and $W_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$, and consider a morphism $\psi : G \to F$. Since F and G are $\mathbb{R}$-constructible and conic, then $\ker\psi$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E)$, and its support is still compact on the base.

□

Corollary 1.4.3 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$. Then there exists a small filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E)$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 1.3.8.

□

Lemma 1.4.4 *Let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$. Then:*

(i) $R\tau_*F \simeq i^{-1}F$.

(ii) $R\tau_{!!}F \simeq i^!F$.

Proof. (i) The adjunction morphism defines $R\tau_*F \simeq i^{-1}\tau^{-1}R\tau_*F \to i^{-1}F$. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Z_{sa})$. Then

$$\varinjlim_{U\supset V} R^k\Gamma(U; F) \simeq \varinjlim_{\substack{U\supset V\\ \tau(U)=V}} R^k\Gamma(U; F) \simeq R^k\Gamma(\tau^{-1}(V); F) \simeq R^k\Gamma(V; R\tau_*F),$$

where $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. The second isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.2.6.

(ii) The adjunction morphism defines $i^!F \to i^!\tau^!R\tau_{!!}F \simeq R\tau_{!!}F$. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Z_{sa})$, and let K be a compact subanalytic $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected neighborhood of V in E. Then $\tau^{-1}(V) \setminus K$ is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected and subanalytic, and $\mathbb{R}^+(\tau^{-1}(V)\setminus K) = \tau^{-1}(V)\setminus Z$. By Proposition 1.2.6 we have the isomorphism $R\Gamma(\tau^{-1}(V); R\Gamma_Z F) \simeq R\Gamma(\tau^{-1}(V); R\Gamma_K F)$.

It follows from the definition of $R\tau_{!!}$ that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Z_{sa})$ we have $R^k\Gamma(V, R\tau_{!!}F) \simeq \varinjlim_{K} R^k\Gamma(\tau^{-1}(V); R\Gamma_K F)$, where K ranges through the family of compact subanalytic $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected subsets of E.

On the other hand for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $R^k\Gamma(V; i^!F) \simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}(i_*k_V, F) \simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}(i_*i^{-1}\tau^{-1}k_V, F) \simeq R^k\Gamma(\tau^{-1}(V), R\Gamma_Z F)$ and the result follows.

$\square$

Corollary 1.4.5 *The functors $R\tau_*$ and $R\tau_{!!}$ commutes with $R\rho_*$, ρ^{-1} and $\rho_!$.*

Proof. The functor $R\tau_*$ commutes with ρ^{-1} and $R\rho_*$. By Lemma 1.4.4 (i) it commutes with $\rho_!$ as well.

The functor $R\tau_{!!}$ commutes with ρ^{-1}. Since τ is a projection, then it commutes with $\rho_!$. It commutes with $R\rho_*$ by Lemma 1.4.4 (ii).

$\square$

1.5 Fourier-Sato transformation

Let $E \xrightarrow{\tau} Z$ be a real vector bundle, with dimension n over a real analytic manifold Z and $E^* \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$ its dual. We identify Z as the zero-section of E and denote $i : Z \hookrightarrow E$ the embedding, we define similarly $i : Z \hookrightarrow E^*$. We denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections from $E \underset{Z}{\times} E^*$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & E \underset{Z}{\times} E^* \arrow[dl, "p_1"'] \arrow[dr, "p_2"] & \\
E \arrow[dr, "\tau"'] & & E^* \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
 & Z &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We set

$$P := \{(x,y) \in E \underset{Z}{\times} E^*, \langle x,y\rangle \geq 0\}$$

$$P' := \{(x,y) \in E \underset{Z}{\times} E^*, \langle x,y\rangle \leq 0\}$$

and we define the functors

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{P'} = Rp_{1*} \circ R\Gamma_{P'} \circ p_2^! \\ \\ \Phi_{P'} = Rp_{2!!} \circ (\cdot)_{P'} \circ p_1^{-1} \\ \\ \Psi_P = Rp_{2*} \circ R\Gamma_P \circ p_1^{-1} \\ \\ \Phi_P = Rp_{1!!} \circ (\cdot)_{P'} \circ p_2^! \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1.5.1 *Let* $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$*. Then* $\mathrm{supp}((R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F))_{P'})$ *is contained in* $Z \underset{Z}{\times} E^*$*.*

Proof. We may reduce to the case $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$. Then $F = \varinjlim\limits_i \rho_* F_i$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^{cb}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(k_E)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}\varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i)_{P'}) &\simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* H^k(R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F_i)_{P'}) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*(H^k(R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F_i)_{P'}))_{Z\underset{Z}{\times}E^*}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.7.6 of [6].

□

Lemma 1.5.2 *Let A and B be two closed subanalytic subsets of E such that $A \cup B = E$, and let $F \in D^b(k_{E_{sa}})$. Then $R\Gamma_A(F_B) \simeq (R\Gamma_A F)_B$.*

Proof. We have a natural arrow $(\Gamma_A F)_B \to \Gamma_A(F_B)$, and $R(\Gamma_A F)_B \simeq (R\Gamma_A F)_B$ since $(\cdot)_B$ is exact. Then we obtain a morphism $(R\Gamma_A F)_B \to R\Gamma_A(F_B)$. It is enough to prove that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$ we have $(R^k\Gamma_A F)_B \xrightarrow{\sim} R^k\Gamma_A(F_B)$. Since both sides commute with $\varinjlim$, we may assume $F \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_E)$. Then the result follows from the corresponding one for sheaves.

□

Proposition 1.5.3 *The two functors* $\Phi_{P'}, \Psi_P : D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}}) \to D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E^*_{sa}})$ *are isomorphic.*

Proof. We have the chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{P'}F &= Rp_{2!!}(p_1^{-1}F)_{P'} \\
&\simeq Rp_{2!!}R\Gamma_P((p_1^{-1}F)_{P'}) \\
&\simeq Rp_{2!!}(R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F))_{P'} \\
&\simeq Rp_{2*}(R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F))_{P'} \\
&\simeq Rp_{2*}R\Gamma_P(p_1^{-1}F).
\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows from Lemma 1.4.4 (ii), the second one from Lemma 1.5.2, the third one from Lemma 1.5.1 and the last one from Lemma 1.4.4 (i).

□

Definition 1.5.4 *Let* $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$*.*

(i) *The Fourier-Sato transform is the functor*

$$(\cdot)^\wedge : D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}}) \to D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E^*_{sa}})$$

$$F^\wedge = \Phi_{P'}F \simeq \Psi_P F.$$

(ii) *The inverse Fourier-Sato transform is the functor*

$$(\cdot)^\vee : D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E^*_{sa}}) \to D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$$

$$F^\vee = \Psi_{P'}F \simeq \Phi_P F.$$

It follows from definition that the functors $^\wedge$ and $^\vee$ commute with $R\rho_*$. This implies that the restriction of these functors to classical conic sheaves are the classical Fourier-Sato and inverse Fourier-Sato transforms.

Theorem 1.5.5 *The functors* $^\wedge$ *and* $^\vee$ *are equivalence of categories, inverse to each others. In particular we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})}(F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E^*_{sa}})}(F^\wedge, G^\wedge).$$

Proof. Let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E_{sa}})$. The functors $^\wedge$ and $^\vee$ are adjoint functors, then we have a morphism $F \to F^{\wedge\vee}$. To show that it induces an isomorphism it is enough to check that $R\Gamma(U;F) \to R\Gamma(U;F^{\wedge\vee})$ is an isomorphism on a basis for the topology of E_{sa}. Hence we may assume that U is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. By Proposition 1.2.6 we may suppose that U is an open subanalytic cone of E. we have the chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RHom}(k_U, F^{\wedge\vee}) &= \mathrm{RHom}(k_U, \Psi_{P'}\Phi_{P'}F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_{P'}k_U, \Phi_{P'}F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_{P'}k_U, \Psi_P F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\Phi_P\Phi_{P'}k_U, F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(k_U, F),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.7.9 of [6] and from the fact that the functors $^\wedge$ and $^\vee$ commute with $R\rho_*$. Similarly we can show that for $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{E^*_{sa}})$ we have an isomorphism $G^{\vee\wedge} \xrightarrow{\sim} G$.

□

Corollary 1.5.6 *The functors* $^\wedge$ *and* $^\vee$ *commute with* ρ^{-1} *and* $\rho_!$.

Proof. It follows immediately by adjunction.

□

2 Laplace transform

As an application of the preceding constructions we introduce the conic sheaf of temperate holomorphic functions. We refer to [4] for the definition of the functor of temperate cohomology and to [7] for the action of the Laplace transform on the temperate cohomology.

2.1 Review on temperate cohomology

From now on, the base sheaf is $\mathbb{C}$. Let M be a real analytic manifold. One denotes by $\mathcal{D}b_M$ the sheaf of Schwartz's distributions, and by $\mathcal{D}_M$ the sheaf of finite order differential operators with analytic coefficients. In [4] the author defined the functor

$$(\cdot, \mathcal{D}b_M) : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_M)$$

in the following way: let U be a subanalytic subset of M and $Z = M \setminus U$. Then the sheaf $(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_M)$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_Z \mathcal{D}b_M \to \mathcal{D}b_M \to (\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_M) \to 0.$$

This functor is exact and extends as functor in the derived category, from $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_M)$. Moreover the sheaf $(F, \mathcal{D}b_M)$ is soft for any $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf F.

Now let X be a complex manifold, $X^{\mathbb{R}}$ the underlying real analytic manifold and $\overline{X}$ the complex conjugate manifold. The product $X \times \overline{X}$ is a complexification of $X^{\mathbb{R}}$ by the diagonal embedding $X^{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow X \times \overline{X}$. One denotes by $\mathcal{O}_X$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions and by $\mathcal{D}_X$ the sheaf of finite order differential operators with holomorphic coefficients. For $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ one sets

$$(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\overline{X}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, (F, \mathcal{D}b_{X^{\mathbb{R}}})),$$

and this functor is called the functor of temperate cohomology.

2.2 The Weyl algebra

Let E be complex vector space of finite dimension n. Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}(E)$ the polynomial ring on E. We denote by $D(E)$ the Weyl algebra on E, that is, the ring of differential operators with coefficient in $\mathcal{O}(E)$.

The Fourier transform $\wedge : D(E) \to D(E^*)$ and the inverse Fourier transform $\vee : D(E^*) \to D(E)$ induce isomorphisms which are defined as follows: let $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be two systems of coordinates in E and E^* respectively. We have

$$z_i^{\wedge} = \partial_{\zeta_i} \text{ and } \partial_{z_i}^{\wedge} = \zeta_i.$$

On the other hand we have

$$-z_i = \partial_{\zeta_i}^{\vee} \text{ and } \partial_{z_i} = \zeta_i^{\vee}.$$

Let us consider the subanalytic site E_{sa}. We denote by $D(E_{sa})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(E_{sa})$) the constant sheaf on E_{sa} associated to $D(E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(E)$). We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(D(E_{sa}))$ the category of $D(E_{sa})$-modules.

2.3 The sheaf $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}+}}$

Let E be a n-dimensional real vector space, and let $j : E \hookrightarrow P$ its projective compactification.

Definition 2.3.1 *We denote by* $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$ *the presheaf on* $E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$ *defined as follows*

$$U \mapsto \mathrm{THom}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_E) := \Gamma(P; (j_!\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_P))$$

for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$.

It follows from the definition that the sections of $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}(U)$ are tempered along the boundary of U and at infinity. As a consequence of the Łojasievicz's inequalities [10], for $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}(E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}(U \cup V) \to \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}(U) \oplus \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}(V) \to \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}(U \cap V) \to 0$$

is exact. Then $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$ is a sheaf on $E_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}$, then it belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{E_{sa}})$. Moreover it extends uniquely to an exact functor on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_E)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$ is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_E)$. It follows from the definition that for any $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_E)$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \simeq \mathrm{THom}(F, \mathcal{D}b_E).$$

Denote by $D(E)$ the Weyl algebra on E. Then $\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$ is a $D(E_{sa})$-module. Let us consider the relation with the conic sheaf $\mathcal{D}b^t_E$ of [7]. It is the conic sheaf on E associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathrm{THom}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{D}b_E)$, for $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa,\mathbb{R}^+}(E)$. It follows immediately that $\mathcal{D}b^t_E \simeq \rho^{-1}\mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$.

2.4 Laplace transform

Let E be a n-dimensional complex vector space, and let $\overline{E}$ be the complex conjugate of E. Let $j : E \hookrightarrow P$ denote the projective compactification of E.

Definition 2.4.1 *We define the sheaf* $\mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(D(E_{sa}))$ *of tempered holomorphic functions by the Dolbeaut complex*

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,0)}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,n)}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \to 0 \tag{2.1}$$

or equivalently by the complex $R\mathcal{H}om_{D(\overline{E}_{sa})}(\mathcal{O}(\overline{E}_{sa}), \mathcal{D}b^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$.

It follows from the definition that for any $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c},\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_E)$

$$\mathrm{RHom}(F, \mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \simeq \mathrm{THom}(F, \mathcal{O}_E).$$

Let E^* denote the dual vector space.

Theorem 2.4.2 *The Laplace transform induces an isomorphism of $D(E_{sa})$-modules $\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n] \simeq \mathcal{O}^{t}_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$.*

Proof. It is enough to check the isomorphism $R\Gamma(U;\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n]) \simeq R\Gamma(U;\mathcal{O}^{t}_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$ on a basis for the topology of E_{sa}. Hence we may assume that U is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. By Proposition 1.2.6 we may suppose that U is an open subanalytic cone of E. We have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U;\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n]) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n]) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbb{C}^{\vee}_U,\mathcal{O}^{t}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}[n]) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbb{C}^{\wedge a}_U[n],\mathcal{O}^{t}_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{O}^{t}_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(U;\mathcal{O}^{t}_{E^*_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \end{aligned}$$

where a denotes the antipodal map. The third isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.7.10 of [6] and the fourth one is given by the Laplace isomorphism of Theorem 5.2.1 of [7]. Moreover this isomorphism is linear over the Weyl algebra $D(E_{sa})$.

□

Let $\mathcal{O}^t_E$ denote the conic sheaf $R\mathcal{H}om_{D(\overline{E})}(\mathcal{O}(\overline{E}),\mathcal{D}b^t_E)$. Applying ρ^{-1} to the Dolbeaut complex (2.1) we obtain the complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,0)}_E \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}} \mathcal{D}b^{t,(0,n)}_E \to 0,$$

which is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^t_E$. Then $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}^t_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \simeq \mathcal{O}^t_E$.

Applying ρ^{-1} to the isomorphism of Theorem 2.4.2 we recover the result of [7].

Theorem 2.4.3 *The Laplace transform induces an isomorphism $D(E)$-modules $\mathcal{O}^{t\wedge}_E[n] \simeq \mathcal{O}^t_{E^*}$.*

A Appendix

A.1 Classical sheaves on conic topologies

Let X be a locally compact space endowed with an action of $\mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following hypothesis

$$\text{(A.1)}\qquad \begin{cases} \text{(i) every point } x \in X \text{ has a fundamental neighborhood} \\ \qquad \text{system consisting of } \mathbb{R}^+\text{-connected open subsets;} \\ \text{(ii) for any } x \in X \;\text{ the set } \mathbb{R}^+x \text{ is contractible.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ the topological space X endowed with the conic topology, i.e. $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ if it is open for the topology of X and invariant by the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

We will consider the full abelian subcategory $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$ of conic sheaves consisting of sheaves F such that for any orbit b of the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$, $F|_b$ is locally constant. One can show that $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$ is thick, and the inclusion functor $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ is exact.

Let us consider the natural map $\eta : X \to X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$. Let φ be the natural map from $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F)$ to $\Gamma(U; \eta^{-1}F)$ defined by

$$\text{(A.2)}\qquad \begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F) & \to & \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; \eta_*\eta^{-1}F) \\ & \simeq & \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; \eta^{-1}F) \\ & \to & \Gamma(U; \eta^{-1}F) \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^+U$ is the cone generated by U.

Proposition A.1.1 *Let F be a sheaf over $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Let U be an open set of X and assume that U is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected. Then the morphism φ defined by* (A.2) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We shall adapt the proof of Proposition 3.5.3 of [6].

Let s be a section of $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U; F)$ such that $s_x = 0$ in $(\eta^{-1}F)_x$ for all $x \in U$. Then for such an x, there exists a conic open set $W \ni x$ such that $s_y = 0$ for all $y \in W$. This implies φ injective.

Let us consider a section $s \in \Gamma(U; \eta^{-1}F)$. It is defined by an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U, such that U_i is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected and $s_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U_i; F)$ such that $s_x = s_{i,x}$ for any $x \in U_i$. We have to show that, if $x \in \mathbb{R}^+U_i \cap \mathbb{R}^+U_j$, then $s_{i,x} = s_{j,x}$. Since the case $x \in \mathbb{R}^+(U_i \cap U_j)$ is obvious, we may assume $U_i \cap U_j = \varnothing$ and the intersection of $\mathbb{R}^+U_i$ and $\mathbb{R}^+U_j$ is not empty. Let

$x_i \in U_i \cap \mathbb{R}^+ x$ and $x_j \in U_j \cap \mathbb{R}^+ x$, there exists a path $\alpha : [0,1] \to U \cap \mathbb{R}^+ x$ from x_i to x_j.

Set $x_t = \alpha(t)$ with $t \in [0,1]$, we consider the set

$$A = \{t \in [0,1], \text{ for any } k \in I \text{ such that } x_t \in U_k,\ s_{i,x} = s_{k,x}\}$$

since s_k is constant on $\mathbb{R}^+ x$ for any $k \in I$, it can also be defined as

$$A = \{t \in [0,1], \text{ there exists } k \in I \text{ such that if } x_t \in U_k,\ s_{i,x} = s_{k,x}\}$$

A is open and closed, and $0 \in A$, then $A = [0,1]$. Therefore $s_i = s_j$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ U_i \cap \mathbb{R}^+ U_j$, and there is $\overline{s} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+ U; F)$ such that $\overline{s}|_{U_i} = s_i$ for all $i \in I$. This implies φ surjective.

□

Corollary A.1.2 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$*. Then* $\eta_* \eta^{-1} F \simeq F$*, and the functor* $\eta^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof. For any $x \in X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ we have:

$$(\eta_* \eta^{-1} F)_x \simeq \varinjlim_{x \in U} \eta_* \eta^{-1} F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x \in U} \eta^{-1} F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x \in U} F(U) \simeq F_x$$

where U ranges through the family of open sets of $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ containing x, and the third isomorphisms follows from the preceding Proposition.

□

Let us consider the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$ of conic sheaves on X. The restriction of η_* induces a functor denoted by $\widetilde{\eta}_*$ and we obtain a diagram

(A.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X) \arrow[r, shift left, "\widetilde{\eta}_*"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{\mathbb{R}^+}}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\eta^{-1}"] \\
\mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \arrow[ur, "\eta_*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem A.1.3 *The functors* $\widetilde{\eta}_*$ *and* η^{-1} *in* (A.3) *are equivalences of categories inverse to each others.*

Proof.(i) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_X)$. We have the chain of isomorphisms:

$$F_x \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} F(\mathbb{R}^+U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} \eta^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_* F(\mathbb{R}^+U) \simeq (\eta^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_* F)_x,$$

where U ranges through the family $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected open subsets of X. The second isomorphism follows from Corollary 3.7.3 of [6] and the third one follows from Proposition A.1.1. This implies $\eta^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_* \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

(ii) By Corollary A.1.2 we have $\widetilde{\eta}_*\eta^{-1} \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

□

References

[1] O. Berni; Laplace transform of temperate holomorphic functions; Compositio Mathematica **129** pp. 183-201 (2001).

[2] E. Bierstorne, D. Milmann; Semianalytic and subanalytic sets; Publ. I.H.E.S. **67** pp. 5-42 (1988).

[3] R. Godement; Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux; Hermann, Paris (1958).

[4] M. Kashiwara; The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems; Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20**, pp. 319-365 (1984).

[5] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira; Categories and sheaves; to appear.

[6] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira; Sheaves on manifolds; Grunderlen der Math. **292** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).

[7] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira; Integral transforms with exponential kernels and Laplace transform; Journal of the AMS **4** vol. **10** (1997).

[8] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira; Ind-sheaves; Astérisque **271** (2001).

[9] S. Łojaciewicz; Sur la géométrie semi- et sous-analytique; Ann. Inst. Fourier **43** pp. 1575-1995 (1993).

[10] S. Łojaciewicz; Sur le problème de la division; Studia Mathematica **8** pp. 87-136 (1959).

[11] L. Prelli; Sheaves on subanalytic sites; arXiv:math.AG/0505498 (2005).

[12] L. Prelli; Ind-sheaves on locally quasi-compact spaces; PHD Thesis; Universities of Paris 6 and Padova (2005).

[13] G. Tamme; Introduction to étale cohomology; Universitext Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).

[14] L. Van Der Dries; Tame topology and o-minimal structures; London Math. Society Lecture Notes Series **248**; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

[15] J. L. Verdier; Catégories dérivées, état 0, SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$; Lecture Notes in Math. **569** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).

Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, via G. Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy

or: Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Institut de Mathématiques, 175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France

E-mail address: `lprelli@math.unipd.it`